



REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-119 remain in this application.

In the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-119 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. The Examiner has maintained the position that the term "polycyanates" is confusing. Claims 1, 11-14, 35, 46-48, 69, 79-80 and 115-116 are currently amended. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification on page 4, line 30 through page 5, line 3. The claim amendments are made for clarification purposes only; and thus, no subject matter has been surrendered and the claims have not been narrowed by said amendments. Applicants submit that as-amended the claims overcome this rejection.

Applicants submit that claims 1-119 of this patent case are in condition for allowance, and thus request reconsideration of the claims.

Very truly yours,

Carol A. Marmo
Registration No. 39,761
Attorney for Applicant

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania
February 9, 2004